

# Tax Tips Alert

July 2016

**pwc**

## ***New Zealand's changing international tax rules and relationships – what's next?***

The international tax landscape has undergone substantial renovation in a number of countries since the OECD released its final recommendations to address Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) late last year. And, for many countries, attention has quickly turned to implementation (refer to our [October](#) and [December 2015 Tax Tips](#) for further information).

In New Zealand, both the Government and Inland Revenue support the OECD's final recommendations. However, to date, public announcements have been limited about actions New Zealand has taken, and continues to take, as part of the global BEPS effort. Last week, the Government released [several papers](#) outlining New Zealand's BEPS implementation strategy.

Simultaneously, the Government also released a report following a review of New Zealand's foreign trust rules undertaken by tax expert, John Shewan.

***In New Zealand, both the Government and Inland Revenue support the OECD's final recommendations. However, to date, public announcements have been limited about actions New Zealand has taken, and continues to take, as part of the global BEPS effort.***

### **Key things you need to know**

1. The Government intends to consult on hybrid mismatch and interest limitation rules in August/September this year. Draft legislation could be introduced in March 2017. These timeframes are ambitious, and suggest that the Government is keen to ensure New Zealand does not fall behind its main trading partners on these issues. Taxpayers should consider the potential impact of any changes to their New Zealand operations upon release of the consultation papers.
2. While the papers state that the Government will review diverted profits tax regimes introduced in other countries (namely, the United Kingdom and Australia), recent comments made by Prime Minister John Key to the media suggest this is unlikely to be implemented under the current government. Instead, attention should be directed towards the Government's commitment to work closely with the OECD to give effect to multilateral rather than unilateral solutions.
3. The announcement that the Government may make legislative changes to facilitate implementation of the revised OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines confirms Inland Revenue fully supports the OECD's focus on 'substance' in relation to multinationals' operating models and transfer pricing arrangements. While we are already observing this focus during Inland Revenue reviews, we anticipate legislative changes will be required to give full effect to the revised OECD transfer pricing analysis.

4. New Zealand's support of the OECD recommendations has been indicated by the Government's signals to sign up to the multilateral instrument to modify its tax treaties in December this year and by Inland Revenue's implementation of several key measures to improve transparency. However, we note that no announcements have been made with respect to mandating New Zealand transfer pricing documentation (although there is already a clear expectation from Inland Revenue that documentation should be prepared). Arguably, with many other countries moving to compulsory documentation initiatives in line with the OECD's recommendations, the Government and Inland Revenue will have to consider whether New Zealand is at risk of not being prioritised by multinationals whose focus will be on those jurisdictions where they see the most compliance risk.
5. John Shewan's independent inquiry into the disclosure requirements for foreign trusts produced a number of recommendations that would greatly increase the amount of information that foreign trusts make available. These recommendations considered strengthening regulations regarding the initial registration of foreign trusts, allowing regulatory agencies to search the register and requiring foreign trusts to file a detailed annual return. We await the Government's formal response to these recommendations.
6. New Zealand's implementation strategy indicates that Inland Revenue will be dedicating significant resources to consider and implement a number of changes. In our view, this will also require Inland Revenue to make substantial additional personnel available to adequately administer such changes in the future.

---

## Get in touch



**Erin Venter**  
*Partner*

T: +64 9 355 8862  
E: [erin.l.venter@nz.pwc.com](mailto:erin.l.venter@nz.pwc.com)



**Briar Williams**  
*Director*

T: +64 9 355 8531  
E: [briar.s.williams@nz.pwc.com](mailto:briar.s.williams@nz.pwc.com)



**Briar Paterson**  
*Senior Manager*

T: +64 9 355 8236  
E: [briar.k.paterson@nz.pwc.com](mailto:briar.k.paterson@nz.pwc.com)

